

SOME TRIVIALITY RESULTS FOR QUASI-EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS AND EINSTEIN WARPED PRODUCTS

PAOLO MASTROLIA AND MICHELE RIMOLDI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove a number of triviality results for Einstein warped products and quasi-Einstein manifolds using different techniques and under assumptions of various nature. In particular we obtain and exploit gradient estimates for solutions of weighted Poisson-type equations and adaptations to the weighted setting of some Liouville-type theorems.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *weighted manifold*, also known in the literature as a *smooth metric measure space*, is a triple $(M^m, g_M, e^{-f}d\text{vol})$, where M^m is a complete m -dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric g_M , $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $d\text{vol}$ denotes the canonical Riemannian volume form on M . The Ricci tensor can be naturally extended to weighted manifolds introducing the modified *k -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor*

$$(1) \quad \text{Ric}_f^k = \text{Ric} + \text{Hess}(f) - \frac{1}{k}df \otimes df, \quad \text{for } 0 < k \leq \infty.$$

When f is constant, $\text{Ric}_f^k \equiv \text{Ric}$, while, if $k = \infty$, $\text{Ric}_f^k = \text{Ric}_f$, the usual Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor. For a detailed introduction to weighted manifolds and the k -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor, we refer to the papers of Wei and Wylie ([28], [29]) and Li ([12]).

We call a weighted manifold *k -quasi-Einstein* or simply *quasi-Einstein* (and g_M is a *quasi-Einstein metric*) if

$$(2) \quad \text{Ric}_f^k = \lambda g_M,$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [6]). We note that:

- if $f = \text{constant}$, (2) is the Einstein equation, and in this case we call the quasi-Einstein metric *trivial*;

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21.

Key words and phrases. Einstein warped products, quasi-Einstein manifolds, triviality, gradient estimates.

- if $k = \infty$, (2) is exactly the gradient Ricci soliton equation. In the last years, since the appearance of the seminal works of R. Hamilton [9] and G. Perelman [16], the study of Ricci solitons (and of their generalizations) has become the matter of a rapidly increasing investigation, directed mainly toward problems of *classification* and *triviality*; among the enormous literature on the subject we only quote, as a few examples, the papers [17], [19], [18], [24], [20], [8].

In the following we deal only with the case $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which corresponds to the case of *Einstein warped product metrics*. Indeed, in [6], elaborating on [11], it is proved a characterization of quasi-Einstein metrics as base metrics of Einstein warped product metrics. This characterization can be formulated in the following form (see [26], Theorem 2). Recall that the f -*Laplacian* of a weighted manifold $(M, g_M, e^{-f} d\text{vol})$ is defined as the diffusion-type operator $\Delta_f = e^f \text{div}(e^{-f} \nabla)$.

Theorem 1. *If $N^{m+k} = M^m \times_u F^k$ is a complete Einstein warped product with Einstein constant λ , warping function $u = e^{-f/k}$ and Einstein fibre F^k , then the weighted manifold $(M^m, g_M, e^{-f} d\text{vol})$ satisfies the quasi-Einstein equation (2); furthermore, the Einstein constant μ of the fibre satisfies the equation*

$$(3) \quad \Delta_f f = k\lambda - k\mu e^{\frac{2}{k}f}.$$

Conversely, if the weighted manifold $(M^m, g_M, e^{-f} d\text{vol})$ satisfies (2), then f satisfies (3) for some constant $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the warped product $N^{m+k} = M^m \times_u F^k$, with $u = e^{-f/k}$, and Einstein fibre F with Einstein constant μ . Then N is Einstein with $\text{Ric}_N = \lambda g_N$.

The previous characterization permits to study Einstein warped products by focusing only on equation (3).

Examples of quasi-Einstein manifolds with $\lambda < 0$ and μ of arbitrary sign, or with $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$ are constructed in [2]. Moreover, in the latter case, all non-trivial examples have $\mu > 0$, while the trivial quasi-Einstein metrics with $\lambda = 0$ necessarily satisfy $\mu = 0$. Other non-trivial examples with $\lambda > 0$, $k > 1$ and $\mu > 0$ are constructed in [13]. Since, if $k < \infty$ and $\lambda > 0$, M is necessarily compact (see [25]), the maximum principle applied to (3) yields that $\mu > 0$ in this situation.

The aim of this work is to prove a number of triviality results obtained with different techniques and under assumptions of various nature. The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 the key tools are gradient estimates for solutions of the class of equations to which (3) belongs to. First we prove a new gradient estimate which extend to the case $\lambda < 0$ the one given by J. Case in [5]. This will

allow us to obtain a triviality result when the function f is bounded from below by a constant depending on m, k and on the Einstein constants λ and μ , respectively of the warped product and of the fibre. Further triviality results adapting Liouville-type theorems from [15] are also given.

In [26] one of the authors prove a triviality result under weighted integrability conditions on f . In Section 3, using a Motomiya-type theorem, we are able to obtain the same conclusion under a more natural integrability assumption.

In Section 4 we concentrate on 1-quasi-Einstein manifolds. Indeed, by means of an adaptation to the weighted Laplacian of a Liouville-type result obtained in [22], we get the triviality of the quasi-Einstein structure or the constancy of the scalar curvature.

2. GRADIENT ESTIMATES AND TRIVIALITY RESULTS

2.1. A generalization of Case's gradient estimate. In [5] J. Case deals with the triviality of quasi-Einstein metrics, and hence, of Einstein warped products, by considering only equation (3). However in that work only the case $\lambda \geq 0$ is studied.

Theorem 2. (Case) *Let $N^{m+k} = M^m \times_u F^k$ be a complete warped product with warping function $u(x) = e^{-\frac{f(x)}{k}}$, scalar curvature ${}^N S \geq 0$ and complete Einstein fibre F . Then N is simply a Riemannian product provided the base manifold M is complete and the scalar curvature of F satisfies ${}^F S \leq 0$.*

Remark 3. If $\lambda > 0$, as observed above, the assertion of Theorem 2 can be easily proved from (3) using the maximum principle.

Note also that in [26] a generalization of Theorem 2 is obtained, proving the triviality when the warped product and the fibers, respectively, have non-positive and non-negative scalar curvature, up to assume an integrability condition on the warping function u .

The proof of Theorem 2 is a consequence of the following gradient estimate for solutions of weighted Poisson equations (see also [27]). We denote with $B(q, T)$ the geodesic ball centered in q of radius T .

Theorem 4. (Case) *Let $(M^m, g_M, e^{-f} d\text{vol})$ be such that $\text{Ric}_f^k \geq 0$, $k < \infty$, and*

$$(4) \quad \Delta_f f = \phi(f),$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that

$$(5) \quad \phi'(t) + \frac{2}{m} \phi(t) \geq 0$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $q \in M$, $T > 0$ such that $B(q, T)$ is geodesically connected in M and the closure $\overline{B(q, T)}$ is compact,

$$(6) \quad |\nabla f|^2(q) \leq \frac{mk}{m+k} \frac{2(m+k+6)}{T^2}.$$

We are able to obtain a similar estimate even in case $\lambda < 0$.

Theorem 5. *Let $(M^m, g_M, e^{-f}d\text{vol})$ be a weighted manifold (not necessarily complete). Suppose that, for some $k < +\infty$, $Z > 0$,*

$$(7) \quad \text{Ric}_f^k \geq \lambda = -(m+k-1)Z^2$$

and that

$$(8) \quad \Delta_f f = \psi(f),$$

where $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$(9) \quad \psi'(t) + \frac{2}{m}\psi(t) + \lambda \geq 0$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $q \in M$ and $T > 0$ such that $B(q, T)$ is geodesically connected in M and the closure $\overline{B(q, T)}$ is compact,

$$(10) \quad |\nabla f|^2(q) \leq \frac{mk}{m+k} \left[\frac{2(m+k+6)}{T^2} - \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{9} \frac{\lambda}{Z} \frac{1}{T} \right].$$

Remark 6. Note that in case $\text{Ric}_f^k \geq 0$ we recover Case's result by letting $Z \rightarrow 0^+$.

Proof. From the Bochner formula (see, for instance, [15])

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_f |\nabla u|^2 = |\text{Hess}(u)|^2 + g_M(\nabla \Delta_f u, \nabla u) + \text{Ric}_f^k(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{k}g_M(\nabla f, \nabla u)^2.$$

Applying the previous formula to f and using (7), (8), (9), Newton inequalities and $\Delta f = \Delta_f f + |\nabla f|^2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta_f |\nabla f|^2 &= |\text{Hess}(f)|^2 + g_M(\nabla \Delta_f f, \nabla f) + \text{Ric}_f^k(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \frac{1}{k}|\nabla f|^4 \\ &\geq |\text{Hess}(f)|^2 + \psi'(f)|\nabla f|^2 + \lambda|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{k}|\nabla f|^4 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m}(\Delta f)^2 + \psi'(f)|\nabla f|^2 + \lambda|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{k}|\nabla f|^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{m}\psi^2(f) + \left(\psi'(f) + \frac{2}{m}\psi(f) + \lambda \right)|\nabla f|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k} \right)|\nabla f|^4 \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k} \right)|\nabla f|^4, \end{aligned}$$

and then we deduce

$$(11) \quad \Delta_f |\nabla f|^2 \geq 2 \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k} \right) |\nabla f|^4.$$

Let now $\rho(x) := \text{dist}(q, x)$ (using the Calabi trick, [3], we can suppose that ρ is smooth) and consider on $B(q, T)$ the function

$$(12) \quad F(x) = [T^2 - \rho^2(x)]^2 |\nabla f|^2.$$

If $|\nabla f| \equiv 0$ we have nothing to prove; if $|\nabla f| \not\equiv 0$, since $F \geq 0$ and $F|_{\partial B(q, T)} \equiv 0$, there exists a point $x_0 \in B(q, T)$ such that $F(x_0) = \max_{B(q, T)} F(x) > 0$. At x_0 we then have

$$(13) \quad \frac{\nabla F}{F}(x_0) = 0,$$

$$(14) \quad \frac{\Delta_f F}{F}(x_0) \leq 0.$$

A long but straightforward calculation shows that (13) is equivalent to

$$(15) \quad \frac{\nabla |\nabla f|^2}{|\nabla f|^2} = \frac{2 \nabla \rho^2}{T^2 - \rho^2} \quad \text{at } x_0,$$

while, using (15) and the Gauss lemma, condition (14) is equivalent to

$$(16) \quad 0 \geq -2 \frac{\Delta_f \rho^2}{T^2 - \rho^2} + \frac{\Delta_f |\nabla f|^2}{|\nabla f|^2} - 24 \frac{\rho^2}{(T^2 - \rho^2)^2} \quad \text{at } x_0.$$

From the f -Laplacian comparison theorem (see [25], [14]) we have

$$(17) \quad \Delta_f \rho^2 \leq 2 [(m+k) + (m+k-1)Z\rho];$$

combining (11), (16) and (17) we find, at x_0 ,

$$0 \geq -4 \frac{[(m+k) + (m+k-1)Z\rho]}{T^2 - \rho^2} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k} \right) |\nabla f|^2 - 24 \frac{\rho^2}{(T^2 - \rho^2)^2},$$

which implies, multiplying through by $(T^2 - \rho^2)^2$, that at x_0 we have

$$(18) \quad 0 \geq -4 [(m+k) + (m+k-1)Z\rho] (T^2 - \rho^2) + 2 \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k} \right) F - 24 \rho^2.$$

The previous relation can be rewritten as

$$(19) \quad 0 \geq -4(m+k)(T^2 - \rho^2) + 2 \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k} \right) F - 24 \rho^2 + H_3(\rho),$$

where $H_3 : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $H_3(\rho) = 4(m+k-1)Z(\rho^3 - T^2\rho)$. Since H_3 assumes its minimum value $-\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{9}(m+k-1)ZT^3 = \frac{8\sqrt{3}\lambda}{9Z}T^3$ for $\bar{t} = \frac{T}{\sqrt{3}}$, equation (19) implies

$$0 \geq -4(m+k)T^2 + 2\left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k}\right)[T^2 - \rho^2(x)]^2 |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{8\sqrt{3}\lambda}{9Z}T^3 - 24\rho^2,$$

and so

$$2\left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k}\right)[T^2 - \rho^2(x)]^2 |\nabla f|^2 \leq 4(m+k+6)T^2 - \frac{8\sqrt{3}\lambda}{9Z}T^3,$$

which easily implies the thesis taking the sup on $B(q, T)$. \square

As a corollary we immediately get to the following Liouville-type theorem for Einstein warped products.

Theorem 7. *Let $N = M^m \times_u F^k$ a complete Einstein warped product with warping function $u = e^{-f/k}$. scalar curvature ${}^N S = (m+k)\lambda < 0$ and complete Einstein fibre F^k with scalar curvature ${}^F S = k\mu < 0$. Suppose that*

$$(20) \quad f \geq \frac{k}{2} \log\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\mu} \frac{m+2k}{m+k}\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in M.$$

Then N is simply a Riemannian product (up to a rescaling of the metric on F).

Proof. Since N is an Einstein warped product, from Theorem 1 we know that f satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_f f = k\lambda - k\mu e^{\frac{2}{k}f},$$

so, with the notation used above, we have that $\psi(t) = k\lambda - k\mu e^{\frac{2}{k}t}$. Equation (20) implies (9), so we can apply Theorem 5. Since M is complete, letting $T \rightarrow +\infty$ we obtain the thesis. \square

2.2. Applications of other gradient estimates. In the same spirit, adapting results from [15] we also achieve other triviality results from *a-priori* estimates for the gradient of global solutions of equations slightly more general than (4). In particular as a consequence of Theorem 2.3 in [15] we deduce the following

Theorem 8. *Let $N = M^m \times_u F^k$ a complete Einstein warped product with Einstein constant $\lambda < 0$, warping function $u = e^{-f/k}$ and Einstein fibre F^k with Einstein constant $\mu < 0$. Suppose that*

$$(21) \quad f \geq \frac{k}{2} \log\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\mu}\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in M$$

and that

$$(22) \quad |f| \leq D(1 + r(x))^\nu$$

for some $D \geq 0$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then N is simply a Riemannian product (up to a rescaling of the metric on F), provided

$$(23) \quad 0 \leq \nu < 1.$$

Proof. Since N is an Einstein warped product, from the previous discussions we know that f satisfies (3). Now, referring to Theorem 2.3 in [15], condition (2.23) is satisfied (with equality sign) for $\delta = 0$ and $\lambda = -(n-1)H^2 = -(m+k-1)H^2$, condition (2.25) is guaranteed by (21) and (2.26) is valid for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, since $A = B = e^{-f}$, so we can choose, for instance, $\theta = -2$. Hence f is constant by Theorem 2.3 in [15]. \square

Remark 9. Note that condition (21) is more general than (20), but in order to obtain triviality in Theorem 8 we also need to require f to have sublinear growth.

3. A REFINED VERSION OF THEOREM 1 IN [26]

In the present section we state a weighted version of Theorem 1.31 in [23], which can be proved by minor changes to the proof of this latter, and a sufficient condition for the validity of the full Omori-Yau maximum principle for the f -Laplacian; our goal is to deduce a triviality result for complete Einstein warped products, which is a corollary of Theorem 1 in [26], replacing the integrability assumption with weight $e^{-\frac{f}{k}}$ in the aforementioned theorem with a more natural condition. We recall that a Riemannian manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is said to *satisfy the Omori-Yau maximum principle for the f -laplacian* if for each $u \in C^2(M)$ such that $u^* = \sup_M u < +\infty$ there exists a sequence $\{x_k\} \subset M$ such that

$$(i) \quad u(x_k) > u^* - \frac{1}{k}, \quad (ii) \quad |\nabla u(x_k)| < \frac{1}{k}, \quad (iii) \quad \Delta_f u(x_k) < \frac{1}{k}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 10. Assume on the complete weighted manifold $(M, g_M, e^{-f} d\text{vol})$ the validity of the full Omori-Yau maximum principle for the f -Laplacian. Let $v \in C^2(M)$ be a solution of the differential inequality

$$\Delta_f v \geq \Phi(v, |\nabla v|),$$

with $\Phi(t, y)$ continuous in t , C^2 in y and such that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}(t, y) \geq 0.$$

Set $\varphi(t) = \Phi(t, 0)$. Then a sufficient condition to guarantee that

$$v^* = \sup_M v < +\infty$$

is the existence of a continuous function F positive on $[a, +\infty)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$(24) \quad \left\{ \int_a^t F(s) ds \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in L^1(+\infty),$$

$$(25) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_a^t F(s) ds}{t F(t)} < +\infty,$$

$$(26) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{F(t)} > 0$$

and

$$(27) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\left\{ \int_a^t F(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{F(t)} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \Big|_{(t,0)} > -\infty.$$

Furthermore in this case

$$\varphi(v^*) \leq 0.$$

Consider now the equation

$$(28) \quad \Delta_f f = k\lambda - k\mu e^{\frac{2}{k}f}.$$

and let $\mu < 0$. If we choose $\varphi(t) = \Phi(t, y) = m\lambda - m\mu e^{\frac{2}{k}t}$ and $F(t) = (t-a)^\sigma$, with $\sigma > 1$, then F satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 10. However, to use Theorem 10, we have also to assure on $(M, g_M, e^{-f}d\text{vol})$ the validity of the full Omori-Yau maximum principle for the f -laplacian.

We will use the following corollary of Theorem 4.1 in [20].

Corollary 11. *Let $(M^m, g_M, e^{-f}d\text{vol})$ be a complete weighted manifold such that*

$$(29) \quad \text{Ric}_f^k(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(m+k-1)G(r)$$

for a smooth positive function G on $[0, +\infty)$, even at the origin and satisfying

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & G(0) > 0 & (ii) \quad & G'(t) \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, +\infty) \\ (iii) \quad & G(t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \notin L^1(+\infty) & (iv) \quad & \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{tG(t^{\frac{1}{2}})}{G(t)} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Omori-Yau maximum principle for the f -Laplacian holds on M .

Proof. Let h be the solution on \mathbb{R}_0^+ of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} h'' - Gh = 0 \\ h(0) = 0; \quad h'(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, by Proposition 2.3 in [14], the inequality

$$\Delta_f r \leq -(m+k-1) \frac{h'}{h} \leq C_1 G(r)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

holds pointwise in $M \setminus (cut(o) \cup \{o\})$ for some constant C_1 . Thus

$$(31) \quad \Delta_f r^2 = 2r \Delta_f r + 2 \leq 2 + 2r C_1 G(r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_2 r G(r)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

off a compact set, and the hypotheses (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) of Theorem 4.1 in [20] are satisfied with $\gamma = r^2$. In that theorem it is also assumed a bound on the gradient of f , but here we don't need this further hypothesis. Indeed by (31) we can replace the last part of the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [20] with the following computation.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_f u(x_k) &= \Delta u(x_k) - \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle(x_k) \\ &\leq \frac{(u(x_k) - u(p) + 1)}{k} \left\{ \frac{\varphi'(\gamma(x_k))}{\varphi(\gamma(x_k))} \Delta \gamma(x_k) + \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{\varphi'(\gamma(x_k))}{\varphi(\gamma(x_k))} \right)^2 |\nabla \gamma|^2(x_k) \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{(u(x_k) - u(p) + 1)}{k} \frac{\varphi'(\gamma(x_k))}{\varphi(\gamma(x_k))} \langle \nabla \gamma(x_k), \nabla f(x_k) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{(u(x_k) - u(p) + 1)}{k} \left\{ \frac{\varphi'(\gamma(x_k))}{\varphi(\gamma(x_k))} \Delta_f \gamma(x_k) + \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{\varphi'(\gamma(x_k))}{\varphi(\gamma(x_k))} \right)^2 |\nabla \gamma|^2(x_k) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{(u(x_k) - u(p) + 1)}{k} \left\{ \frac{c}{\gamma^{1/2} G(\gamma^{1/2})^{1/2}} C_2 \gamma^{1/2} G(\gamma^{1/2})^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{c^2}{\gamma G(\gamma^{1/2})} A^2 \gamma \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the RHS tends to zero as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Hence, choosing $G(t) = t^2 + \frac{|\lambda| + \varepsilon}{m+k-1}$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain that the full Omori–Yau maximum principle for the f –laplacian holds on a generic quasi–Einstein manifold.

As an application of Theorem 10 we can deduce the following result.

Corollary 12. *Let $N^{m+k} = M^m \times_u F^k$ be a complete Einstein warped product with non-positive scalar curvature $(m+k)\lambda = {}^N S \leq 0$, warping function $u(x) = e^{-\frac{f(x)}{k}}$ satisfying $\inf_M f = f_* > -\infty$ and complete Einstein fibre F . Suppose also that ${}^F S < 0$. Then $f^* < +\infty$. In particular Riemannian volumes are equivalent to f –weighted volumes.*

Proof. Applying Theorem 10 to equation (28) we obtain that $f^* < +\infty$. Since, by assumption, we know also that $f_* > -\infty$ the thesis follows easily. \square

From Corollary 12 we immediately get the following corollary of Theorem 1 in [26].

Corollary 13. *Let $N^{m+k} = M^m \times_u F^k$ be a complete Einstein warped product with non-positive scalar curvature $(m+k)\lambda = {}^N S \leq 0$, warping function $u(x) = e^{-\frac{f(x)}{k}}$ satisfying $\inf_M f = f_* > -\infty$ and complete Einstein fibre F . Suppose also that ${}^F S < 0$. Then N is simply a Riemannian product if the base manifold M is complete and non-compact, the warping function satisfies $f \in L^p(M, e^{-f} d\text{vol})$, for some $1 < p < +\infty$, and $f(x_0) \leq 0$ for some point $x_0 \in M$.*

From the Motomiya-type theorem we deduce also the following result.

Theorem 14. *Let $N^{m+k} = M^m \times_u F^k$ be a complete Einstein warped product with non-positive scalar curvature $(m+k)\lambda = {}^N S \leq 0$, warping function $u(x) = e^{-\frac{f(x)}{k}}$ satisfying $\inf_M f = f_* > -\infty$ and complete Einstein fibre F with ${}^F S < 0$. Then ${}^M S_* = m\lambda$.*

Proof. As above, by Theorem 10, we have that $f^* < +\infty$ and so

$$\text{vol}_{\hat{f}}(M) \leq \text{vol}_f(M) e^{\frac{k-1}{k} f^*}$$

From the weighted volume estimates in [25] and Theorem 9 in [24] we get that the weak maximum principle at infinity for the \hat{f} -Laplacian holds on M . Hence we can construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $f(x_n) \rightarrow f_*$ and $\Delta_{\hat{f}} f(x_n) \geq -\frac{1}{n}$. Thus, since tracing (2) we have that $\Delta_{\hat{f}} f = m\lambda - {}^M S$, we obtain that

$$-\frac{1}{n} \leq m\lambda - {}^M S(x_n) \leq m\lambda - {}^M S_* \leq 0,$$

where in the last inequality we have used the estimates of Theorem 3 in [26]. The conclusion now follows taking the limit for $n \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

4. A LIOUVILLE RESULT FOR 1-QUASI-EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

In this section we obtain another Liouville result for k -quasi-Einstein manifolds, in case $k = 1$. It is well known that any 1-quasi-Einstein metric which has $\mu = 0$ (and so corresponds to a warped product Einstein metric) necessarily has constant scalar curvature $R \equiv (m-1)\lambda$. This follows simply by taking the trace of the quasi-Einstein equation (2) and using equation (3). Warped product Einstein metrics which correspond to these latters are more commonly known as *static metrics* and have been studied extensively

due to their connections to scalar curvature, the positive mass theorem, and general relativity, (see e.g. [1], [7] and references indicated in the recent preprint [10]).

As observed in [4], also the study of quasi-Einstein metrics with $k = 1$ and $\mu \neq 0$ is interesting. Since we cannot apply Theorem 1 to construct the related Einstein warped products, their existence proves that, even restricting to integer hidden dimension k , quasi-Einstein manifolds form a strictly larger class of manifolds than those which are the base of an Einstein warped product manifold. For some examples of these manifolds, constructed in the more general setting of conformally warped manifolds, see the last section of [4].

Our Liouville result, which is relevant exactly in the $\mu \neq 0$ case, will follow from an adaptation to the f -Laplacian under weighted volume growth conditions of Theorem A in [22]. This can be deduced from the proof of the latter, making minor modifications in the proofs of Theorem A, Lemma 1.2, Theorem A' in [21] and Theorem 2.5 in [22].

Theorem 15. *Let ϕ be a continuous function on $[0, +\infty)$ satisfying the conditions*

(32)

(i) $\phi(0) = \phi(a) = 0$, (ii) $\phi(s) > 0$ in $(0, a)$, (iii) $\phi(s) < 0$ in $(a, +\infty)$,

for some $a > 0$, and

$$(33) \quad \liminf_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-\phi(s)}{s^\sigma} > 0,$$

for some $\sigma > 1$; let also $b(x) \in C^0(M)$ and suppose that

$$b(x) \geq \frac{C}{(1 + r(x))^\mu} \text{ on } M,$$

for some $C > 0$ and $0 \leq \mu < 2$. Let u be a non-negative solution of

$$(34) \quad \Delta_f u = -b(x)\phi(u) \text{ on } M.$$

Assume that

$$(35) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \text{vol}_f(B_r)}{r^{2-\mu}} < +\infty$$

and, if

$$(\text{vol}_f(\partial B_r))^{-1} \in L^1(+\infty)$$

assume furthermore that

$$\phi(t) \geq ct^\xi \quad 0 < t \ll 1$$

for some $\xi > 0$ and $c > 0$. Finally, if $\xi \geq 1$ suppose also that

$$u(x) \geq Dr(x)^{-\theta}, \quad r(x) \gg 1$$

for some $\theta \geq 0$, $D > 0$ and that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \text{vol}_f(B_r)}{r^{2-\theta(\xi-1+\varepsilon)-\mu}} < +\infty$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then u is constant and identically equal to 0 or a.

Now, consider a k -quasi-Einstein manifold $(M^m, g_M, e^{-f} d\text{vol})$, $k < +\infty$. We recall that, according to Lemma 4 in [26], setting $\tilde{f} = \frac{k+2}{k}f$, the scalar curvature S of a quasi-Einstein manifold satisfies the following relation,

$$(36) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\tilde{f}}S = -\frac{k-1}{k}\left|Ric - \frac{1}{m}Sg_M\right|^2 - \frac{k+m-1}{km}(S - m\lambda)\left(S - \frac{m(m-1)}{k+m-1}\lambda\right).$$

Exploiting (36), one can obtain estimates for the infimum of the scalar curvature $S_* = \inf_M S$ (see Theorem 3 in [26]). In particular we have that for $\lambda > 0$

$$(37) \quad \frac{m(m-1)}{m+k-1} < S_* \leq m\lambda,$$

and for $\lambda < 0$ and $\inf_M f > -\infty$

$$(38) \quad m\lambda \leq S_* \leq \frac{m(m-1)}{m+k-1}\lambda.$$

In the special case $k = 1$ equation (36) becomes

$$(39) \quad \Delta_{\tilde{f}}S = -2(S - m\lambda)(S - (m-1)\lambda).$$

Making an essential use of (39), we now prove the following theorem which, jointly with (37) and (38), essentially states that, under suitable geometric assumption, when the scalar curvature is confined in a particular interval it has to be constant and identically equal to one of the extremes of the interval. Some extra rigidity in case $\lambda > 0$ is also discussed.

Theorem 16. *Let $(M^m, g_M, e^{-f} d\text{vol})$ be a geodesically complete 1-quasi-Einstein manifold with quasi-Einstein constant λ and scalar curvature S . Set $\tilde{f} = 3f$ and suppose that $f_* = \inf_M f > -\infty$.*

If

$$(\text{vol}_{\tilde{f}}(\partial B_r))^{-1} \in L^1(+\infty),$$

letting

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} -S(x) + (m-1)\lambda & \lambda < 0 \\ -S(x) + m\lambda & \lambda > 0, \end{cases}$$

assume furthermore that

$$u(x) \geq Dr(x)^{-\theta}, \quad r(x) \gg 1$$

for some $\theta \geq 0$, $D > 0$, and that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \text{vol}_{\tilde{f}}(B_r)}{r^{2-\theta\varepsilon}} < +\infty$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

- (a) If $\lambda < 0$ and $S \leq (m-1)\lambda$ we obtain that S is constant and identically equal to either $(m-1)\lambda$ or $m\lambda$.
- (b) If $\lambda > 0$ and $S \leq m\lambda$ then S is constant, identically equal to $m\lambda$ and M is Einstein.

Proof. (a) Assume $\lambda < 0$. Considering $u = -S + (m-1)\lambda$, which is non-negative for $S \leq (m-1)\lambda$, from (39) we obtain that

$$(40) \quad \Delta_{\tilde{f}} u = 2u(u + \lambda).$$

We want now to apply Theorem 15 to the equation (40) on the weighted manifold $(M, g_M, e^{-\tilde{f}} d\text{vol})$. If we choose $\phi(t) = -2t(t + \lambda)$, it clearly satisfies assumptions (32) and (33) with $a = -\lambda$ and the equation (40) can be written in the form

$$\Delta_{\tilde{f}} u = -\phi(u),$$

as in the statement of Theorem 15.

Furthermore, according to Qian weighted volume estimates, ([25]), since by assumption $f_* > -\infty$, we have the validity of the condition on the \tilde{f} -volume growth of the form (35). Hence by Theorem 15 we are able to conclude that S is constant and identically equal to either $m\lambda$ or $(m-1)\lambda$.

(b) Assume $\lambda > 0$. Consider $u = -S + m\lambda$ which is non-negative for $S \leq m\lambda$, and choose $\phi(t) = -2t(t - \lambda)$; applying Theorem 15 with $a = \lambda$, we conclude that S is constant and identically equal to either $(m-1)\lambda$ or $m\lambda$.

Now we show that the first case cannot happen. Indeed, suppose that $S \equiv (m-1)\lambda$. Substituting in the trace of the quasi-Einstein equation we get that $\Delta f \geq 0$ and since M is compact we obtain that f is constant and M is Einstein with $\text{Ric} = \lambda g_M$. But this is clearly impossible, since tracing this latter equation we get a contradiction. Hence $S \equiv m\lambda$. Substituting again in the trace of the quasi-Einstein equation we obtain, reasoning as above, that f is constant, and thus that M is Einstein. \square

Acknowledgements. We wish to thank Stefano Pigola and Jeffrey Case for valuable suggestions and useful comments on earlier versions of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. M. T. Anderson, *Scalar curvature, metric degenerations and the static vacuum Einstein equations on 3-manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 2, 855–967.

2. A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds. Reprint of the 1997 edition*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
3. E. Calabi, *An extension of Hopf's maximum principle with an application to Riemannian geometry*, Duke Math. J. **25** (1957), 45–56.
4. J. S. Case, *Conformally warped manifolds and quasi-Einstein metrics*, arXiv:1011.2723v1 [math.DG] (2010).
5. ———, *On the nonexistence of quasi-Einstein metrics*. To appear on Pacific J. Math., arXiv:0902.2226v3 [math.DG] (2010).
6. J. S. Case, Y.-J. Shu, and G. Wei, *Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics*, arXiv:0805.3132v1 [math.DG] (2008).
7. J. Corvino, *Scalar curvature deformation and gluing construction for the Einstein constraint equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **214** (2000), no. 1, 137–189.
8. M. Eminent, G. La Nave, and C. Mantegazza, *Ricci solitons: the equation point of view*, Manuscripta Math. **127** (2008), 345–367.
9. R. S. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*. Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), Contemp. Math., vol. 71, pp. 237–262, Am. Math. Soc., 1988.
10. C. He, P. Petersen, and W. Wylie, *On the classification of warped product Einstein metrics*, arXiv:1010.5488v1 [math.DG] (2010).
11. D.-S. Kim and Y. H. Kim, *Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 2573–2576.
12. X.-D. Li, *Liouville theorems for symmetric diffusion operators on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **84** (2005), 1295–1361.
13. H. Lu, D. N. Page, and C. N. Pope, *New inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on sphere bundles over Einstein-Kaehler manifolds*, Phys. Lett. B **593** (2004), 218–226.
14. L. Mari, M. Rigoli, and A. G. Setti, *Keller-Osserman conditions for diffusion-type operators on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), 665–712.
15. P. Mastrolia and M. Rigoli, *Diffusion-type operators, Liouville theorems and gradient estimates on complete manifolds*, Nonlinear Anal. **72** (2010), 3767–3785.
16. G. Perelman, *Ricci flow with surgery on three manifolds*, arXiv:math/0303109v1 [math.DG] (2003).
17. P. Petersen and W. Wylie, *On gradient Ricci solitons with symmetry*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 2085–2092.
18. ———, *On the classification of gradient Ricci solitons*, arXiv:0712.1298v5 [math.DG] (2009).
19. ———, *Rigidity of gradient Ricci solitons*, Pacific J. Math. **241** (2009), 329–345.
20. S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, M. Rimoldi, and A. G. Setti, *Ricci almost solitons*, To appear on Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa. arXiv:1003.2945v1 (2010).
21. S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, and A. G. Setti, *Volume growth, “a priori” estimates, and geometric applications*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 6, 1302–1328.
22. ———, *A Liouville-type result for quasi-linear elliptic equations on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **219** (2005), no. 2, 400–432.
23. ———, *Maximum principles on Riemannian manifolds and applications*, Memoirs of the AMS, vol. 174, 2005.
24. S. Pigola, M. Rimoldi, and A. G. Setti, *Remarks on non-compact gradient Ricci solitons*, To appear on Math. Z. arXiv:0905.2868v3 [math.DG] (2010).
25. Z. Qian, *Estimates for weighted volumes and applications*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **48** (1997), 235–242.
26. M. Rimoldi, *A remark on Einstein warped product*. To appear on Pacific J. Math., arXiv:1004.3866v3 [math.DG] (2010).

27. R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, I, International Press, Cambridge, 1994.
28. G. Wei and W. Wylie, *Comparison Geometry for the Smooth Metric Measure Spaces*, Proceedings of the 4th International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians, vol. II, Hangzhou, China, 2007, pp. 191–202.
29. G. Wei and W. Wylie, *Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor*, J. Differential Geom. **83** (2009), no. 2, 377–405. MR 2577473

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, VIA SALDINI 50, I-20133 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: paolo.mastrolia@gmail.com

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, VIA SALDINI 50, I-20133 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: michele.rimoldi@unimi.it